

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,249		12/15/2003	Lorenzo Parrini	P/4309-63	5817	
2352	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
		BER GERB & SO	THOMPSON	THOMPSON, HUGH B		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3634		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	. *				
0#:	Action Comments	10/737,249	1	PARRINI ET AL.					
Oπice	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Hugh B. Th	-	3634 -					
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do nay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 fs from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONED	J. lely filed the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Responsiv	re to communication(s) filed on <u>15 D</u>	ecember 20	23						
2a) ☐ This action	` '								
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the 									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	ms								
•		1		•					
	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · _	6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
	is/are objected to.				• ,				
	are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.						
Application Papers									
· ·	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Tablected to by the F	Evaminor					
	nay not request that any objection to the	•	•						
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct				ER 1 121(d)				
	r declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U	·	X		7.00.011 01 10.1111 1					
•	•		05110000440()						
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
• — •	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
<u>=</u>									
•		•		ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Oce the atta	ioned detailed Office action for a list	or the certifi	sa copies not receive	·					
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsper3) Information Disclos	atent Application (PT0	O-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail D			6)						

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect each claim, the phrase "and/or" is improper and should be removed.

Though not an ambiguity per se, claim 4 recites "VIP", which should be in lower case and not in acronym form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen #6,000,505. Allen, as best seen in Figures 2A-8, and recited in column 5, lines 59-61, column 6, lines 7-47, column 7, lines 17-21, and column 12, lines 45-64, discloses a method for evacuating occupants from an elevator comprising a means for determining of the load capacity of an elevator car 20 by means of a elevator controller/control unit 16, that can strategically position multiple elevators during an emergency, emergency condition detectors 8, 22, 25, 26, which are

Art Unit: 3634

interconnected with a CPU capable of identifying signals from the detectors by digital (numeric code) protocol, an elevator/stairway visual indicating means 114, 116, 118, 320, the emergency evacuation sequence of columns 15 and 16, emergency evacuation zone 202, 214, designated floors 188, 206, 208, 210, the method permitting for the evacuation of persons from specific floors and ultimately the entire building.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vandeweghe #4,074,225, Topol et al #4,531,114, Fukuda et al #4,852,696, Allen #5,979,607, Fargo et al #6,196,355, Meacham #6,206,142, Weng #6,529,128, Gazdzinski #6,615,175, Megerle #6,778,071, Bowman et al #6,828,918, Lemelson et al #6,873,256 are cited to teach emergency elevator systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,249

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

September 16, 2005